United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>METHOD OF DETECTING MICROORGANISMS IN A SAMPLE</u>.

The specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PC international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

Foreign application(s), if any, claiming priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119:

 Application Number
 Country
 Day/Month/Year Filed

 199 21 281.3
 Germany
 07/05/1999

 199 36 875.9
 Germany
 05/08/1999

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number Filing Date PCT/EP00/03989 May 4, 2000

Status Published

Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

all business in the P	atent and Tradem	ark Office connected her	rewith:	11		
Anglin, J. Michael Arora, Suneel Beekman, Marvin L. Bianchi, Timothy E. Billion, Richard E. Black, David W. Brennan, Leoniede M. Brennan, Thomas F. Brooks, Edward J., III Chadwick, Robin A. Clark, Barbara J. Clise, Timothy B. Cochran, David R. Dahl, John M. Drake, Eduardo E. Embretson, Janet E. Forrest, Bradley A. Gamoa, Owen J. Gorrect, Joseph E. Greaves, John N.	Reg. No. 24,916 Reg No. 42,267 Reg. No. 38,377 Reg. No. 39,610 Reg No. 32,836 Reg. No. 42,331 Reg. No. 35,832 Reg. No. 35,075 Reg. No. 40,925 Reg. No. 36,477 Reg. No. 38,107 Reg. No. 40,957 Reg. No. 40,957 Reg. No. 40,957 Reg. No. 40,632 Reg. No. 44,639 Reg. No. 44,639 Reg. No. 39,665 Reg. No. 30,837 Reg. No. 36,143 Reg. No. 36,530 Reg. No. 41,791 Reg. No. 40,362	Haack, John L. Harris, Robert J. Hill, Stanley K. Jackson Huebsch, Katharine A Jurkovich, Patti J. Kalis, Janal M Klima-Silberg, Catherine I. Kluth, Daniel J Lacy, Rodney L. Lemaire, Charles A. LeMoine, Dana B. Lundberg, Steven W Maki, Peter C. Malen, Peter L. Mates, Robert E. McCrackin, Ann M. McTavish, Hugh E. Mehrle, Joseph P. Moore, Charles L., Jr. Muller, Mark V. Nama, Kash	Reg. No. 36,154 Reg. No. 37,346 Reg. No. 37,548	Nelson, Albin J. Nicholson, Lea A. Nielsen, Walter W. Padys, Danny J. Parker, J. Kevin Perdok, Monique M. Peret, Andrew R Peterson, David C. Prout, William F. Schumm, Sherry W. Schwegman, Micheal L. Scott, John C. Smith, Michael G. Speier, Gary J. Steffey, Charles E. Stordal, Leif T. Terry, Kathleen R Tong, Viet V. Viksnins, Ann S. Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 28,650 Reg. No. 48,346 Reg. No. 25,539 Reg. No. 35,635 Reg. No. 33,024 Reg. No. 42,989 Reg No. 41,246 Reg. No. 33,995 Reg. No. 33,995 Reg. No. 39,422 Reg. No. 25,816 Reg. No. 38,613 Reg. No. 45,368 Reg. No. 45,458 Reg. No. 25,179 Reg. No. 46,251 Reg No. 31,884 Reg. No. 45,416 Reg. No. 45,416 Reg. No. 37,748 Reg. No. 30,440	
Please direct all correspondent of the property of the propert	which first sends/sent nted unless/until I ins ondence in this case t e that all statements r true; and further that fine or imprisonment	ely on instructions from and of this case to them and by who truct Schwegman, Lundberg, vo Schwegman, Lundberg, vo Schwegman, Lundberg, vo Box 2938, Minnea Telephone No. (61) made herein of my own know these statements were made, or both, under Section 1001 application or any patent issue	m/which I hereby of Woessner & Kluth. Woessner & Kluth, polis, MN 55402 2)373-6900 ledge are true and twith the knowledge of Title 18 of the I	P.A. at the address indicate that all statements made on that willful false statements.	ed after full ed below: information and ts and the like so	
		<u>ri Snaidr</u> Strasse 148	Residence: 80992 Munich, Germany			
Signature: Jiri Snaidr			Date:			
Full Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:	Residence:					
Signature:			Date:			

Page 3 of 3

Attorney Docket No.: 235.017US1 Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - Each inventor named in the application:
 - Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney. agent, or inventor.